

RESPONSE

In light to the preceding amendments to the claims of the subject application, the Applicants respond to the issues raised by the examiner in the Office Action as follows.

I. Obvious-Type Double Patenting

Claims 14 and 19-23 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of commonly owned U.S. Patent No. 6,284,132. A terminal disclaimer, executed by the undersigned attorney of record, is enclosed with this response. It is therefore requested that Claims 20-23 be allowed and those portions of cancelled Claims 14 and 19 that have been incorporated within Claims 13 and 15, respectively.

II. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 13 and 15-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gauer *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 4,722,797). Applicants have amended independent Claims 13 and 15 to incorporate the features of previously depending Claims 14 and 19, respectively. But for the obvious-type double patenting rejection, addressed above, it appears that the Examiner has found the subject matter of previous Claims 14 and 19 to be allowable.

Similarly Applicants have amended independent Claim 16 to include the limitations of previously offered Claim 14. Applicants believe that such limitations, as modified in Claim 16, are not disclosed or otherwise taught by Gauer *et al.* Because the Applicants believe that Claim 16 is now allowable over the art, dependent Claims 17 and 18, that depend on Claim 16, are thus now allowable.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Conclusion

Applicants have responded to the objections and rejections contained in the ~~subject~~ Office Action and believe that the application is now in a condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to clarify any additional technical issues or informalities that would otherwise hold up issuance of a patent on this application.

It is not believed that any fees are due in connection with this amendment, but any required payments may be deducted, and any credits refunded, to Deposit Account No. 18-~~2284~~.

Respectfully submitted,

PIPER RUDNICK LLP



Thomas W. Ryan

Reg. No. 43,072

Telephone: (312) 368-4058

Dated: October 9, 2004

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.